6.0 COORDINATION WITH OTHER PROGRAMS, PLANS, AND REGULATORY AUTHORITIES

6.0 Coordination with Other Programs, Plans and Regulatory Authorities

6.1 Overview

Two major federal laws guiding the restoration of the injured resources and services are the Oil Pollution Act and the NEPA. The Oil Pollution Act and its regulations provide the basic framework for natural resource damage assessment and restoration. The NEPA sets forth a specific process of impact analysis and public review. In addition, the Trustees must comply with other applicable laws, regulations and policies at the federal, state, tribal, and local levels. The potentially relevant laws, regulations, and policies are set forth below.

In addition to laws and regulations, the Trustees must consider relevant environment or economic programs or plans that are ongoing or planned in or near the affected environment. For example, as previously noted, the restoration projects may be occurring, in part, in an urban park that is subject to comprehensive planning. A number of documents have been and will be produced as a part of that park and City planning process. Additionally, the Creek has been the focus of community-based restoration efforts. The Trustees propose to work with the sponsors of the ongoing restoration projects to ensure that proposed restoration activities for the Incident neither impede nor duplicate such programs or plans. By coordinating restoration with other relevant programs and plans, the Trustees can enhance the overall effort to improve the environment of the Creek.

In initiating this draft RP/EA, the Trustees have elected to combine the restoration plan required under the Oil Pollution Act with the environmental processes required under the NEPA. This will enable the Trustees to implement restoration more rapidly than if these processes had been undertaken sequentially.

6.2 Key Statutes, Regulations and Policies

There are a number of federal, state, tribal, and local statutes, regulations, and policies that govern or are relevant to damage assessment and restoration.

Oil Pollution Act of 1990, 33 U.S.C. §§ 2701, et seq.; 15 CFR Part 990

The Oil Pollution Act (OPA) establishes a liability regime for oil spills that injure or are likely to injure natural resources and/or the services that those resources provide to the ecosystem or humans. Federal and state agencies and Indian tribes act as Trustees on behalf of the public to assess the injuries, scale restoration to compensate for those injuries, and implement restoration. Section 1006(e)(1) of OPA (33 U.S.C. § 2706 (e)(1)) requires the President, acting through the Under Secretary of Commerce for Oceans and Atmosphere (NOAA), to promulgate regulations for the assessment of natural resource damages resulting from a discharge or substantial threat of

a discharge of oil. Assessments are intended to provide the basis for restoring, replacing, rehabilitating, and acquiring the equivalent of injured natural resources and services.

This rule provides a framework for conducting sound natural resource damage assessments that achieve restoration. The process emphasizes both public involvement and participation by the RP(s). The Trustees have followed the regulations in this assessment.

National Environmental Policy Act, as amended, 42 U.S.C. §§ 4321, et seq., 40 CFR Parts 1500-1508

Congress enacted the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) in 1969 to establish a national policy for the protection of the environment. NEPA applies to federal agency actions that affect the quality of the human environment. NEPA established the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) to advise the President and to carry out certain other responsibilities relating to implementation of NEPA by federal agencies. Pursuant to Presidential Executive Order, federal agencies are obligated to comply with the NEPA regulations adopted by the Council on Environmental Quality. These regulations outline the responsibilities of federal agencies under NEPA and provide specific procedures for preparing environmental documentation to comply with NEPA. NEPA requires that an Environmental Assessment (EA) be prepared in order to determine whether the proposed restoration actions will have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment.

Generally, when it is uncertain whether an action will have a significant effect, federal agencies will begin the NEPA planning process by preparing an Environmental Assessment. The Environmental Assessment may undergo a public review and comment period. Federal agencies may then review the comments and make a determination. Depending on whether an impact is considered significant, an environmental impact statement or a finding of no significance (FONSI) will be issued.

The Trustees have integrated this draft RP/EA with the NEPA process to comply, in part, with those requirements. This integrated process allows the Trustees to meet the public involvement requirements of the Oil Pollution Act and NEPA concurrently. This draft RP/EA is intended to accomplish partial NEPA compliance by:

- Summarizing the current environmental setting;
- Describing the purpose and need for restoration action;
- Identifying alternative actions;
- Assessing the preferred actions' environmental consequences; and
- Summarizing opportunities for public participation in the decision process.

Project-specific NEPA documents may need to be prepared for those proposed restoration projects not already analyzed in an environment assessment or environmental impact statement. There are similar state requirements (Ch. 43.21C RCW) that will need to be met as part of the regulatory evaluation of some of the restoration projects.

Clean Water Act (Federal Water Pollution Control Act), 33 U.S.C. §§ 1251, et seq. The Clean Water Act (CWA) is the principal law governing pollution control and water quality of the nation's waterways. Section 404 of the law authorizes a permit program for the disposal of dredged or fill material into navigable waters. The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers administers the program. In general, restoration projects that move significant amounts of material into or out of waters or wetlands (e.g., hydrologic restoration of marshes) require Section 404 permits. Under Section 401 of the CWA, restoration projects that involve discharge or fill to wetlands or navigable waters must obtain certification of compliance with state water quality standards (section 401). Generally, restoration projects with minor wetland impacts (i.e., a project covered by a U.S. Army Corps of Engineers general permit) do not require Section 401 certification, while projects with potentially large or cumulative impacts do. The Trustees anticipate that the Salmon Park and Cemetery Creek restoration projects will require Section 404 permits.

Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (MSFCMA), 16 U.S.C. §§ 1801, et seg., 50 CFR Part 600

In 1996, the Act was reauthorized and changed by amendments to emphasize a new standard by requiring that fisheries be managed at maximum sustainable levels and that new approaches be taken in habitat conservation. This habitat is called essential fish habitat (EFH), defined broadly to include "those waters and substrate necessary to fish for spawning, breeding, feeding or growth to maturity" (62 Fed. Reg. 66551, § 600.10 Definitions). The MSFCMA requires consultation for all federal agency actions that may adversely affect essential fish habitat. Under Section 305(b)(4) of the Act, the National Marine Fisheries Service is required to provide advisory essential fish habitat conservation and enhancement recommendations to federal and state agencies for actions that adversely affect essential fish habitat. These essential fish habitat consultations will be combined with existing interagency consultations and environmental review procedures that may be required under other statutes. In the situation where federal agency actions are subject to Endangered Species Act Section 7 consultations, such consultations will be combined to accommodate the substantive requirements of both the Endangered Species Act and essential fish habitat. The Trustees will consult with NMFS prior to implementation of any restoration project occurring in an area covered by the Pacific Fishery Management Council.

Coastal Zone Management Act, 16 U.S.C. §§ 1451, et seq., 15 CFR Part 923

The goal of the Coastal Zone Management Act (CZMA) is to preserve, protect, develop, and, where possible, restore and enhance the nation's coastal resources. The federal government provides grants to states with federally approved coastal management programs. The State of Washington has a federally approved program. Section 1456 of the CZMA requires that any

federal action inside or outside of the coastal zone that affects any land or water use or natural resources of the coastal zone shall be consistent, to the maximum extent practicable, with the enforceable policies of approved state management programs. It states that no federal license or permit may be granted without giving the state the opportunity to concur that the project is consistent with the state's coastal policies. The regulations outline the consistency procedures.

The Trustees do not believe that any of the proposed projects will adversely affect the state's coastal zone, but will consult the CZMA to ensure that any applicable projects are consistent to the maximum extent practicable with the enforceable policies of the state coastal program.

Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA), 42 U.S.C. §§ 9601, et seq.

The Act provides the basic legal framework for cleanup and restoration of the nation's hazardous-substances sites. Generally, parties responsible for contamination of sites and the current owners or operators of contaminated sites are liable for the cost of cleanup and restoration. CERCLA establishes a hazard ranking system for assessing the nation's contaminated sites with the most contaminated sites being placed on the National Priorities List (NPL). To the extent that restoration projects are proposed for areas containing hazardous substances, the Trustees will avoid exacerbating any potential risk posed by such substances and will undertake no actions which might constitute "arrangement for disposal of hazardous substances." At this time, the Trustees are not aware of any potential hazardous-substance problem associated with the areas where proposed restoration projects will occur.

Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA), Ch. 70.105D RCW (1989) and Ch. 173-340 WAC (1992). MTCA, Washington's toxic cleanup law, mandates that site cleanups protect the state's citizens and the environment. The regulations established cleanup standards which provide a uniform, statewide approach to cleanup that can be applied on a site-by-site basis; and requirements for cleanup actions, which involve evaluating the best methodology to achieve the cleanup standards at a site. MTCA is the state equivalent of the Federal Superfund program and is managed by WDOE. WDOE is a Trustee for this site so MTCA compliance will be inherent in the Trustee decisionmaking process.

Endangered Species Act, 16 U.S.C. §§ 1531, et seq., 50 CFR Parts 17, 222, 224

The Act directs all federal agencies to conserve endangered and threatened species and their habitats and encourages such agencies to utilize their authority to further these purposes. Under the ESA, NOAA, through NMFS, and the Department of the Interior, through the USFWS, publish lists of endangered and threatened species. Section 7 of the Act requires that federal agencies consult with these agencies to minimize the effects of federal actions on endangered and threatened species. The Trustees have determined that several of the preferred ecological alternatives will benefit some endangered species, notably chinook salmon. Certain projects that require significant construction activity may disturb endangered species, although the regulatory permits and consultation conditions typically set forth a number of operating measures designed

to prevent or mitigate any such disturbances. Section 7 consultations will be conducted as part of the permitting process for the in-water projects, such as Salmon Park, Cemetery Creek, and the park improvements.

Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act, 16 U.S.C. §§ 661, et seq.

The Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act (FWCA) requires that federal agencies consult with the USFWS, NMFS, and state wildlife agencies for activities that affect, control, or modify waters of any stream or bodies of water, in order to minimize the adverse impacts of such actions on fish and wildlife resources and habitat. This consultation is generally incorporated into the process of complying with Section 404 of the Clean Water Act, the NEPA or other federal permit, license, or review requirements.

In the case of restoration actions for this Incident, the fact that the three consulting agencies for the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act (i.e., USFWS, NMFS and WDFW) are represented by the Trustees means that FWCA compliance will be inherent in the Trustee decision-making process.

Rivers and Harbors Act, 33 U.S.C. §§ 401, et seq.

The Rivers and Harbors Act regulates development and use of the nation's navigable waterways. Section 10 of the Act prohibits unauthorized obstruction or alteration of navigable waters and vests the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers with authority to regulate discharges of fill and other materials into such waters. Restoration actions that require Section 404 Clean Water Act permits are likely also to require permits under Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act; however, a single permit usually serves for both. Therefore, the Trustees can ensure compliance with the Rivers and Harbors Act through the same mechanism.

Executive Order 12898: Environmental Justice, as amended

On February 11, 1994, President Clinton issued Executive Order 12898, Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low-Income Populations. This Executive Order requires each federal agency to identify and address, as appropriate, disproportionately high and adverse human health or environmental effects of its programs, policies, and activities on minority and low-income populations. The Environmental Protection Agency and the Council on Environmental Quality have emphasized the importance of incorporating environmental justice review in the analyses conducted by federal agencies under the NEPA and of developing mitigation measures that avoid disproportionate environmental effects on minority and low-income populations.

The Lummi Nation and Nooksack Tribe constitute distinct, separate communities of Native Americans who rely on Treaty-reserved fish and shellfish resources for subsistence, economic, and spiritual purposes. Other members of low-income communities may rely on fishery resources for subsistence purposes. The Trustees have not identified any disproportionate, adverse impacts on human health or environmental effects on implementation of the Preferred

Alternative on Native Americans or other minority or low-income populations and believe that the projects will be beneficial to these communities. The Tribes are Trustees for this Incident and their representation will be inherent in the Trustee decisionmaking process.

Executive Order 11988: Construction in Floodplains

This 1977 Executive Order directs federal agencies to avoid to the extent possible the long- and short-term adverse impacts associated with the occupancy and modification of floodplains and to avoid direct or indirect support of development in floodplains wherever there is a practicable alternative. Each agency is responsible for evaluating the potential effects of any action it may take in a floodplain.

Before taking an action, the federal agency must determine whether the proposed action will occur in a floodplain. For major federal actions significantly affecting the quality of the human environment, the evaluation will be included in the agency's NEPA compliance document(s). The agency must consider alternatives to avoid adverse effects and incompatible development in floodplains. If the only practicable alternative requires siting in a floodplain, the agency must: 1) design or modify the action to minimize potential harm; and 2) prepare and circulate a notice containing an explanation of why the action is proposed to be located in the floodplain. The Trustees will take the appropriate steps to comply with EO 11988 should any of the preferred alternatives be located in the floodplain.

6.3 Other Potentially Applicable Laws and Regulations

This section lists other laws that potentially affect the restoration activities. The statutes or their implementing regulations may require permits from federal or state permitting authorities. The permitting process also may require an evaluation of statutes other than those listed below.

Archaeological Resources Protection Act, 16 U.S.C. §§ 470, et seq.

Clean Air Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 7401, et seq.

Marine Mammal Protection Act, 16 U.S.C. §§ 1361, et seq.

Migratory Bird Treaty Act, 16 U.S.C. §§ 703, et seq.

National Historic Preservation Act, 16 U.S.C. §§ 470, et seq.

Treaty of Point Elliott, 1855. S. Doc. 319, 58-2, vol. 2:43.

6.4 Cedar and Salmon Cultural Framework

In addition to the potentially applicable federal, state, and local laws and regulations, the Trustees have also considered Tribal policies, priorities, and guiding principles. For many

centuries, the native people of the Pacific Northwest based their economy, culture, and religion on salmon fishing. The Western red cedar tree also was also critical to the tribes for shelter, clothing, transportation, and art. The Trustees have attempted to address this cultural framework through salmon restoration and planting of cedar trees and other native vegetation along the Creek.

7.0 PREPARERS, AGENCIES, AND PERSONS CONSULTED

7.0 Preparers, Agencies, and Persons Consulted

1. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

Doug Helton Michelle DeBlasi Gail Siani Nick Iadanza

2. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

Jeff Krausmann

3. State of Washington

Dick Logan Richard Grout Dan Doty Steve Hood

4. Lummi Nation

5. Nooksack Tribe

6. City of Bellingham

Clare Fogelsong

7. Olympic Pipe Line Company

Michael Macrander Tony Palagyi Mike Condon Jim Clark Polaris Applied Sciences. Inc. Inter-Fluve, Inc.

8.0 REFERENCES

8.0 References

Adams, S., Frissell, C., and B. Rieman, 2001. Geography of Invasion in Mountain Streams: Consequences of Headwater Lake Fish Introductions. Ecosystems: pp. 296-307

Agee, J., 1993. Fire Ecology of Pacific Northwest Forests. Island Press, Washington, DC.

Albers, P. and M. Gay, 1982. Unweathered and Weathered Aviation Kerosine: Chemical Characterization and Effects on Hatching Success of Duck Eggs. Bulletin of Environmental Contaminants and Toxicology, Vol. 28, pp. 430-434

American Fisheries Society, 1992. Investigation and valuation of fish kills. American Fisheries Society Special Publication 24. American Fisheries Society, Washington, DC.

AMOCO Oil, 1999. Amoco Regular Lead-Free Gasoline-Gasoline Automotive, Material Safety Data Sheet, AMOCO Oil Company, Chicago, IL.

Ashbrook, C., and D. Doty, 2000. Fish and wildlife in-stream mortality assessment following the Olympic Pipeline gasoline spill in Bellingham, Washington on June 10, 1999, Final Report

Axon, JR, L Hart, and V Nash. 1980. Recovery of tagged fish during the Crooked Creek Bay rotenone study at Barkley Lake, Kentucky. Proceedings of the Annual Conference Southeastern Association of Fish and Wildlife Agencies 33 (1979): 680-687

Ball, J., and D, Graper, 1993. Planting a Tree with a Tree Moving Machine. South Dakota State University Cooperative Extension Service Publication Extra 6021.

Ball, RC, 1948. Recovery of marked fish following a second poisoning of the population in Ford Lake, Michigan. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 75:36-42.

Belt, G., Laughlin, J. and T. Merrill. 1992. Design of Forest Riparian Buffer Strips for the Protection of Water Quality: Analysis of Scientific Literature. Idaho Forest, Wildlife and Range Policy Analysis Group, Report #8, University of Idaho.

Berry, W., and J. Brammer, 1977. Toxicity of Water-Soluble Gasoline Fractions to Fourth-Instar Larvae of the Mosquito *Aedes aegypti*. Environmental Pollution 13 (1977), pp. 229-234.

Bonneville Power Administration, 1990. Analysis of Salmon and Steelhead Supplementation, Technical Report, US Department of Energy, Bonneville Power Administration, Division of Fish and Wildlife, September 1990.

Brocksen, R., and H. Bailey, 1973. Respiratory Response of Juvenile Chinook Salmon and Striped Bass Exposed to Benzene, a Water-soluble Component of Crude Oil. Proceedings of the Joint Conference on Prevention and Control of Oil Spills. Washington, DC, pp. 783-791

Brown, J.; Smith, J., and J. Kapler (eds.) 2000. Wildland Fire in Ecosystems: Effects on Flora. General Technical Report RMRS-GTR- Vol. 2. Odgen UT. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station.

Bue, B.G, Sharr, S., and J.E Seeb, 1998. Evidence of Damage to Pink Salmon Populations Inhabiting Prince William Sound, Alaska, Two Generations after the Exxon Valdez Spill. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 127: pp. 35-43.

Carls, M., Rice, S., and J.E. Hose, 1999. Sensitivity of Fish Embryos to Weathered Crude Oil: Part I. Low-level exposure during incubation causes malformations, genetic damage, and mortality in larval Pacific Herring (*Clupea pallasi*). Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry, Vol 18, No. 3 pp. 481-493.

Carls, M.G, Heintz, R., Moles, A., Rice, S.D., and J.W. Short, 2001. Long-Term Biological Damage: What is Known, and how should that Influence Decisions on Response, Assessment, and Restoration. Proceedings of the 2001 International Oil Spill Conference, Tampa, Florida. American Petroleum Institute Publication No. 14710.

City of Bellingham Department of Planning and Community Development, 1995. 1995 Bellingham Comprehensive Plan.

City of Bellingham Dept. of Parks and Recreation, 1990. Bellingham Trail Guide

City of Bellingham Office of Planning and Development, 1988. Shoreline Management Master Program Update 1988

City of Bellingham, 1999. Whatcom Creek Waterfront Action Program (WCWAP) Summary (http://www.cob.org/oncd/source/htm/special_proj/wcwap/INDEX.HTM)

Cline, P., Delfino, J., and P. Rao, 1991. Partitioning of Aromatic Constituents into Water from Gasoline and Other Complex Solvent Mixtures. Environmental Science and Technology 25 pp. 914-920.

CONCAWE, 1992, Gasolines. Report No. 92/103.

CONCAWE, 1996. Acute Aquatic Toxicity of Gasolines; Report on CONCAWE Test Program. Report No. 96/57.

DeGraeve, G., Elder, R., Woods, D., and H. Bergman, 1982. Effects of Naphthalene and Benzene on Fathead Minnows and Rainbow Trout. Archives of Environmental Contaminants and Toxicology 11, pp 487-490.

Delzer, G., Zogorski, J., Lopes, T., and R. Bosshart, 1996. Occurrence of Gasoline Oxygenate MTBE and BTEX Compounds in Urban Stormwater in the United States, 1991-95. Water Resources Investigations Report 96-4145.

Derveer, W., Nadeau, R., and G. Case, 1995. A Screening-Level Evaluation of Impacts to a Montana Lotic Macroinvertebrate Community From a Fuel Oil Spill. Proceedings of the 1995 International Oil Spill Conference, American Petroleum Institute, Washington, DC.

Devlin, E., Brammer, J., and R. Puyear, 1982. Acute Toxicity of Toluene to Three Age Groups of Fathead Minnows (*Pimephales promelas*). Bulletin of Environmental Contaminants and Toxicology 29, pp. 12-17.

Eissinger, A., 1995. City of Bellingham Wildlife and Habitat Assessment; an Inventory of Existing Conditions and Background Information and Wildlife Habitat Plan, December 1995. Prepared by Nahkeeta Northwest Wildlife Resource Services for the City of Bellingham Department of Planning and Community Development

Everest, F., Beschta, R., Scrivener, J., Koski, K., Sedell, J. and C.J. Sederholm. 1987. Fine Sediment and Salmonid Production: A Paradox. pp 98-142 in Salo, E. and T. Cundy (Eds.) Streamside Management: Forestry and Fisheries Interactions. Contribution No. 57, Institute of Forest Resources, University of Washington, Seattle, WA.

French-McKay, D., 2000. Preassessment Modeling of Fates and Marine Injuries Resulting from the June 1999 Gasoline Spill into Whatcom Creek. Prepared for NOAA Damage Assessment Center, Silver Spring, MD.

French-Mckay, D., 2001. Development and Application of an Oil Toxicity and Exposure Model, OilToxEx. Prepared for the NOAA Damage Assessment Center, Rapid Assessment Program, January 2001.

Galassi, S., Mingazzini, M., Vigano, L., Cesareo, D., and M. Tosato, 1987. Approaches to Modeling Toxic Response of Aquatic Organisms to Aromatic Hydrocarbons. Toxicology and Environmental Safety 16, pp. 158-169.

Geiger, D., Brooke, L., and D. Call (Eds) 1990. Acute Toxicities of Organic Chemicals to Fathead Minnows (*Pimephales promelas*). Center for Lake Superior Environmental Studies, University of Wisconsin-Superior

GeoEngineers, 1999. Site Characterization and Remediation Report, Pipeline Release Areas, Whatcom Creek Incident, Bellingham, Washington, Volume I of II

GeoEngineers, 1999. Site Characterization and Remediation Report, Pipeline Release Areas, Whatcom Creek Incident, Bellingham, Washington, Volume II of II

Gieger, H.J., Bue, B.G., Sharr, S., Wertheimer, A.C., and T.M. Willette, 1996. A Life History Approach to Estimating Damage to Prince William Sound Pink Salmon Caused by the Exxon Valdez Oil Spill. American Fishery Society Symposium 18:487-498.

Graves, N., 1985. A Northern Idaho Gasoline Spill and Cleanup Using Stream Bed Agitation. Proceedings of the 1988 International Oil Spill Conference, American Petroleum Institute, Washington, DC.

Gresswell, R.E. 1999. Fire and Aquatic Ecosystems in Forested Biomes of North America. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society Vol. 128, No. 2, pp. 193-221.

Hayne, DW., RD Ober, LE Schaaf, and DG Scott, 1980. Comparison of second-day pickup with numbers estimated by Pollution Committee counting guidelines- Barkley Lake study. Proceedings of the Annual Conference Southeastern Association of Fish and Wildlife Agencies 33(1979):738-752

HDR Engineering, 1995. Watershed Master Plan, September, 1995. Prepared for the City of Bellingham Department of Public Works.

Heintz, R., Short, J., and S. Rice, 1999. Sensitivity of Fish Embryos to Weathered Crude Oil: Part II. Increased Mortality of Pink Salmon (*Oncorhynchus gorbuscha*) embryos incubating downstream from weathered Exxon Valdez crude oil. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry 18, pp 494-503.

Heintz, R.A, Rice, S.D., and B. Bue, 1996. Field and Laboratory Evidence for Reduced Fitness in Pink Salmon that Incubate in Oiled Gravel. Proceedings of the Symposium on Contaminant Effects on Fish, International Congress on the Biology of Fishes, San Francisco State University, July, 1996.

Helfield, J. and R. Naiman. 2001. Nutrients from salmon carcasses enhance streamside forest growth and long-term salmon production. Journal of Ecology 82(9) pp. 2403-2409.

Henley, JP. 1967. Evaluation of rotenone sampling with scuba gear. Proceedings of the Annual Conference Southeastern Association of Game and Fish Commissioners 20(1966): 439-446

Hodson, P., Dixon, D., and K. Kaiser, 1984. Measurement of Median Lethal Dose as a Rapid Indicator of Contaminant Toxicity to Fish. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry Vol 3, pp. 243-254.

Huyck, V. and E. Paulson (Eds.) 1997. Petroleum in the Freshwater Environment: An Annotated Bibliography. American Petroleum Institute Publication 4640, Washington DC.

Hyatt, T. and R. Naiman, 2001. The Residence Time of Large Woody Debris in the Queets River, Washington. Ecological Applications 11(1), pp. 191-202.

Kennedy, G., and C. Strange., 1986. The effects of intra- and inter-specific competition on the survival and growth of stocked juvenile Atlantic salmon, *Salmo salar L.*, and resident trout, *Salmo trutta L.*, in an upland stream.

Korn, S., Moles, A., and S. Rice, 1979, Effects of Temperature on the Median Tolerance Limit of Pink Salmon and Shrimp Exposed to Toluene, Naphthalene, and Cook Inlet Crude. Bulletin of Environmental Contaminants and Toxicology 21, pp. 521-525.

Labay, A.B. and D. Buzan. 1999. A Comparison of Fish Kill Counting Procedures on a Small, Narrow Stream. N.A. Journal of Fisheries Mgmt 19:209-214.

Manifold, S., Colebrook, B., Baldwin, L. Grace, L., and C. Behee, 2000. Whatcom Creek Invasives Survey Report, February 2000. Bellingham Parks and Recreation Department, Bellingham, WA.

Marty, G.D., Heintz, R.A, and D.E. Hinton, 1997. Histology and Teratology of Pink Salmon Larvae near the Time of Emergence from Gravel Substrate in the Laboratory. Canadian Journal of Zoology 75: 978-988.

Michael, H., 2000. Protocols and Guidelines for Distributing Salmonid Carcasses to Enhance Stream Productivity in Washington State. Washington State Department of Fish and Wildlife.

Minshall, G., Robinson, C., and D. Lawrence, 1997. Postfire responses of Lotic Ecosystems in Yellowstone National Park, U.S.A. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Science No. 54, pp. 2509-2525.

Moles, A., 1980. Sensitivity of Parasitized Coho Salmon Fry to Crude Oil, Toluene, and Naphthalene. Journal of the American Fisheries Society 109: 293-297.

Moles, A., Rice, S., and S. Korn, 1979. Sensitivity of Alaskan Freshwater and Anadromous Fishes to Prudhoe Bay Crude Oil and Benzene. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 108, pp. 408-414.

Morrow, J., 1973. Oil-Induced Mortalities in Juvenile Coho and Sockeye Salmon. Journal of Marine Research Volume 31, No. 3, pp. 135-143.

Morrow, J., 1974. Effects of Crude Oil and Some of its Components on Young Coho and Sockeye Salmon. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Project R801039, Project Element 1BAO22, Washington DC.

Nakano Dennis Landscape Architects, 1995. Whatcom Creek Trail Master Plan, May 1995. Prepared for the City of Bellingham Parks Department.

National Marine Fisheries Service, 1999. Chinook Salmon, (Oncorhynchus tshawytscha), Puget Sound ESU Listed Threatened, March 1999

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Damage Assessment Center, 2000. Whatcom Creek Incident: Preassessment Data Report, Final Draft, 3/20/00, Prepared by Industrial Economics, Inc.

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, 1995. Physical Process Affecting the Movement and Spreading of Oils in Inland Waters. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration HAZMAT Report 95-7, Seattle, Washington

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, 2000. Habitat Equivalency Analysis: An Overview. NOAA Damage Assessment Center, Silver Spring, MD.

Neff, J. 2002. Bioaccumulation in Marine Organisms. Effect of Contaminants from Oil Well Produced Water. Elsevier Science Publishers, Amsterdam. In Press.

Olympic Pipe Line Company, 1999. Preliminary Emergency Restoration Plan for Whatcom Creek and Whatcom Falls Park, Bellingham, Washington, June 22, 1999.

Olympic Pipe Line Company, 1999. Whatcom Creek Sampling and Chemical Analytical Analysis Plan, June 10, 1999

Olympic Pipe Line Company, 2000. Draft Whatcom Creek Draft Long-Term Restoration Plan and Appendices, Prepared by Polaris Consultants, Kirkland, WA.

Peterson, C.H., 2001. The "Exxon Valdez" Oil Spill in Alaska: Acute, Indirect, and Chronic Effects on the Ecosystem. Advances in Marine Biology, Vol. 39, pp. 3-103.

Pickering, Q., Carle, D., Pilli, A., Willingham, T., and J. Lazorchak, 1989. Effects of Pollution on Freshwater Organisms. Journal of the Water Pollution Control Federation, Vol 61, No. 6, pp.998-1042.

Pontasch, K. and M. Brusven, 1988. Diversity and Community Comparison Indices: Assessing Macroinvertebrate Recovery Following a Gasoline Spill. Water Research Vol 22, No. 5, pp. 619-626.

Pontasch, K. and M. Brusven, 1989. Macroinvertebrate and Periphyton Response to Streambed Agitation for Release of Substrate-Trapped Hydrocarbons. Archives of Environmental Contaminants and Toxicology 18, pp. 545-553.

Pontasch, K., and M. Brusven, 1987. Periphyton Response to a Gasoline Spill in Wolf Lodge Creek, Idaho. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, Vol. 44, pp. 1669-1673.

Rice, S.D, Moles, D., Karinen, J., Korn, S., Carls, M., Broderson, C., Gharrett, J., and M. Babcock, 1984. Effects of Petroleum Hydrocarbons on Alaskan Aquatic Organisms. U.S. Department of Commerce, National Marine Fisheries Service Tech. Memo. NMFS F/NWC-67.

Rinne, J. 1996. Short-Term Effects of Wildfire on Fishes and Aquatic Macro-invertebrates in the Southwestern United States. North American Journal of Fisheries Management 16, pp. 653-658.

Robichaud, P., Beyers, J., and D. Neary. 2000. Evaluating the Effectiveness of Post Fire Rehabilitation Treatments. General Technical Report RMRS-GTR- 63. Odgen UT. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station.

Roni, P., and A. Fayram, 2000, Estimating winter salmonid abundance in small western Washington Streams: a comparison of three techniques. National Marine Fisheries Service, NW Fisheries Science Center, Seattle, WA.

Roni, Phil, 2001. Responses of Fishes and Salamanders to Instream Restoration Efforts in Western Oregon and Washington. Project Completion Report. National Marine Fisheries Service, NW Fisheries Science Center, Seattle, WA

Roper, B., Konnoff, D., Heller, D., and K. Wieman, 1998. Durability of Pacific Northwest Instream Structures Following Floods. North American Journal of Fisheries Management 18, pp 686-693.

Schmetterling, D., and R. Pierce, 1999. Success of Instream Habitat Structures After a 50-Year Flood in Gold Creek, Montana. Restoration Ecology Vol. 7, No. 4, pp. 369-375.

Schultz, D., and L. Tebo, 1975. Boone Creek Oil Spill. Proceedings of the 1975 Conference on Prevention and Control of Oil Pollution, American Petroleum Institute, Washington, DC.

Sharr, S., Moffitt, S.D., and A.K. Craig, 1996. Effects of the Exxon Valdez on Pink Salmon Embryos and Preemergent Fry. American Fisheries Society Symposium 18: pp. 619-627.

Smith, J. (ed.), 2000. Wildland Fire in Ecosystems: Effects of Fire on Fauna. General Technical Report RMRS-GTR- 42, Volume 1.U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station

Spina, A., and D. Tormey, 2000. Postfire Sediment Deposition in Geographically Restricted Steelhead Habitat. North American Journal of Fisheries Management Vol. 20: pp. 562-569

Stanford, J. and F. Hauer, 2002. Mitigating the impacts of Stream and Lake regulation in the Flathead River Catchment, Montana, USA: An ecosystem perspective. Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems, Vol. 2, pp. 35-63.

Stein, J.E, Krahn, M.M., Collier, T.K. and J.P. Meador, 1998. Oil Spill Response: Assessing Exposure and Effects in Fishery Resources. Proceedings of the First Joint Meeting of the CEST Panel, Hayama, Japan.

Stone, V.A., 2000. Whatcom Creek Water Quality in the 1990s and the ecological effects of a gasoline pipeline leak and fire in Bellingham, WA. Masters Thesis, Western Washington University.

Sullivan, K., Martin, D., Cardwell, R., Toll, J. and S. Duke., 2000. An Analysis of the Effects of Temperature on Salmonids of the Pacific Northwest with Implications for Selecting Temperature Criteria. Sustainable Ecosystems Institute, Portland, OR.

Swartz, R.C. Schults, D., Oxretich, R., Lamberson, J., Cole, F., DeWitt, T., Redmond, M., and S. Ferraro, 1995. Σ PAH: A Model to Predict the Toxicity of Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbon Mixtures in Field-Collected Sediments. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry, Vol 14., No. 11, pp. 1977-1987.

Taylor, E., Steen, A., and D. Fritz, 1995. A review of environmental effects from oil spills into inland waters. *In* Proc. of the 18th Arctic and Marine Oil Spill Program Tech. Sem., June 14-16, Edmonton, Env. Canada., p. 1095-1115.

Thayer, D.V., 1977. Whatcom Creek Salmon Rearing. Huxley College of Environmental Studies, Western Washington State College, Bellingham, WA.

US Environmental Protection Agency, 1999. Federal On-Scene Coordinator's Report for the Whatcom Creek Olympic Pipe Line Incident, Bellingham, WA.

US Environmental Protection Agency, 1999. Olympic Pipe Line Major Gasoline Spill Whatcom Creek POLREP #16 Bellingham, Washington.

US Geological Survey, 2002. Physical Habitat Simulation (PHABSIM) Software, Midcontinent Ecological Science Center.

Wakehan, S., Davis, A., and J. Karas, 1983, Mesocosm Experiments to Determine the Fate and Persistence of Volatile Organic Compounds in Coastal Seawater. Environmental Science and Technology Vol. 17, pp. 611-617.

Walsh, D., Armstrong, J., Bartley, T., Salman, H., and P. Frank, 1977. Residues of Emulsified Xylene in Aquatic Weed Control and their Impact on Rainbow Trout. US Department of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamation Report REC-ERC-76-11.

Waples, R., 1995. Evolutionarily significant units and the conservation of biological diversity under the Endangered Species Act.

Waples, R., and C. Do., 1994. Genetic risk associated with supplementation of Pacific salmonids: Captive broodstock programs. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 51 (Suppl. 1): 310-329.

Washington State Department of Ecology, 1999. Lake Whatcom Watershed Cooperative Drinking Water Project. Results of 1998 Water, Sediment and Fish Tissue Sampling. Publication No. 99-337.

Washington State Department of Ecology, 2000. Bellingham Bay Pilot Project, Fact Sheet: Bellingham Bay Comprehensive Strategy, Final EIS, October 2000.

Washington State Department of Fish and Wildlife, 1997. Policy of Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife and Western Washington Treaty Tribes Concerning Wild Salmonids

Waters, T.F., 1995. Sediments in Streams: Sources, Biological Effects and Control. American Fisheries Society Monograph 17, American Fisheries Society, Bethesda, MD.